IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DALMER LEE REYAN,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, :

NO.

v.

.

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF

CORRECTIONS, CAPTAIN JOHN DOE ONE, : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SERGEANT JOHN DOE TWO, CORRECTIONS :

OFFICER JOHN DOE THREE,

CORRECTIONS OFFICER JOHN DOE FOUR, : DR. JOSE BOGGIO, DR. VANITHA ABRAHAM, : DR. THEODOOR VOORSTAD, DR. SCOTT : MORGAN, DR. JEAN HOLDREN, PA-C : NICOLE ODEM, PA-C MARK HAMMER, :

:

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is a civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 for violations of plaintiff's rights under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12132; and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794. While Mr. Reyan was incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill, Pennsylvania (SCI Camp Hill), he had limited mobility due to chronic and severe arthritis and a dislocated patella in his right knee. Defendants failed to provide plaintiff with proper accommodations and were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical condition, which resulted in his falling down a staircase and sustaining physical and emotional injuries. The medical defendants were also deliberately indifferent and negligent for failing to ensure that plaintiff consulted with and followed through with recommendations of an orthopedic surgeon outside the prison in regard to his knee.

`II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S. C. §§ 2201, 2202, the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794 (a).
- 2. The court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 over the constitutional claims, as well as those arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1343 (a) (3), § 1343 (a) (4), § 12132, § 1367 (a) and § 794.
- 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b) (2) because events giving rise to this action occurred in Cumberland County, Pennsylvania, within the Middle District.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Dalmer Lee Reyan who is 43 years old, was at all times relevant to this complaint, an inmate at the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill in Cumberland County, Pennsylvania, the State Correctional Institution at Pittsburgh in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania and the State Correctional Institution at Mercer in Mercer County, Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant Pennsylvania Department of Corrections ("DOC") is an agency of the State of Pennsylvania that operates/operated SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer. The principal office for the DOC is located in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania in Cumberland County, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Defendant "Captain John Doe One" is and was at all times relevant hereto, employed as a captain by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections to perform duties in the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill and acted under the color of state law. The defendant's name is currently unknown and undiscoverable by plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to state the true name of Captain John Doe One as soon as possible. At all relevant

times, defendant Captain John Doe One was acting in such capacity as the agent, servant and employee of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. He is sued in his individual capacity.

- 7. Defendant "Sergeant John Doe Two" is and was at all times relevant hereto, employed as a sergeant by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections who worked in the control booth inside the main entrance to SCI Camp Hill at the location where plaintiff fell down the stairs and he performed duties in the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill and acted under the color of state law. The defendant's name is currently unknown and undiscoverable by plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to state the true name of Sergeant John Doe Two as soon as possible. At all relevant times, defendant Sergeant John Doe Two was acting in such capacity as the agent, servant and employee of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 8. Defendant "Corrections Officer John Doe Three" is and was at all times relevant hereto, a corrections officer employed by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections to perform duties in the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill and acted under the color of state law. The defendant's name is currently unknown and undiscoverable by plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to state the true name of Corrections Officer John Doe Three as soon as possible. At all relevant times, defendant Corrections Officer John Doe Three was acting in such capacity as the agent, servant and employee of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 9. Defendant "Corrections Officer John Doe Four" is and was at all times relevant hereto, a corrections officer employed by the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections to perform duties in the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill and acted under the color of state law. The defendant's name is currently unknown and undiscoverable by plaintiff. Plaintiff

will amend this Complaint to state the true name of Corrections Officer John Doe Four as soon as possible. At all relevant times, defendant Corrections Officer John Doe Four was acting in such capacity as the agent, servant and employee of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. He is sued in his individual capacity.

- Nashville, Tennessee and a Pennsylvania regional office in Lemoyne, Pennsylvania and which operates under color of state law, was at all times relevant to this complaint, the employer of the medical defendants and the holder of a contract with the DOC to provide health care to inmates at SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh, SCI Mercer and elsewhere and was responsible for adopting policies and implementing procedures and practices in regard to providing medical services for inmates incarcerated at all Pennsylvania state prisons, including SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer.
- 11. Defendant, Dr. Jose Boggio, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed as a physician and a medical director at SCI Camp Hill, and was employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Camp Hill in 2016. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 12. Defendant, Vanitha Abraham, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed upon information and belief as a physician and a medical director at SCI Camp Hill, and was employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Camp Hill in 2016. She is sued in her individual capacity.
- 13. Defendant, Theodoor Voorstad, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed upon information and belief as a physician and a

medical director at SCI Camp Hill, was employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Camp Hill in 2016. He is sued in his individual capacity.

- 14. Defendant Dr. Scott Morgan, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed as a physician and the medical director at SCI Mercer, was employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Mercer in 2017 and 2018. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 15. Defendant, Dr. Jean Holdren, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed as a physician and the medical director at SCI Mercer, employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Mercer from in or about May 2018 to the present time. She is sued in her individual capacity.
- 16. Defendant, Physician Assistant Nicole Odem, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed as a physician assistant at SCI Mercer, employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Mercer in 2017 through the present time. She is sued in her individual capacity.
- 17. Defendant, Physician Assistant Mark Hammer, who acted under the color of state law, at all relevant times to this complaint, was employed as a physician assistant at SCI Pittsburgh, employed by defendant CCS and assigned to provide medical services at SCI Pittsburgh in 2016 and at SCI Mercer from in or about 2017 through the present time. He is sued in his individual capacity.

IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 18. Plaintiff, Dalmer Reyan, was an inmate at SCI Camp Hill starting in or about March 2016. After his transfer to SCI Pittsburgh in the Spring of 2016, plaintiff returned to SCI Camp Hill in or about June 2016 and remained there, with the exception of a transfer for a short time to York County Prison, until about October 2016.
- 19. Plaintiff was transferred to SCI Pittsburgh in or about October 2016 and he was moved to his current location at SCI Mercer in or about January 2017.
- 20. In or about October 2015, prior to plaintiff's incarceration, he had surgery to stabilize his right knee due to significant arthritis.
- 21. In or about February 2016, while plaintiff was in the Bedford County Jail in Bedford, Pennsylvania, he fell in his cell and dislocated his right knee.
- 22. When plaintiff entered SCI Camp Hill in or about March 2016, his right knee was dislocated and severely arthritic, which made it difficult for him to ambulate.
- 23. In or about March 2016, the medical defendants issued a restriction that plaintiff was to be housed on a bottom bunk at SCI Camp Hill due to his chronic knee condition, but there was no bottom tier restriction at that time.
- 24. Plaintiff was forced to go up and down the steps with great difficulty at SCI Camp Hill when he first arrived in March 2016 until in or about April 2016, when the SCI Camp Hill Medical Department issued a written Physicians Order stating that plaintiff would be restricted to the ground floor and a lower bunk due to his chronic right knee condition.
 - 25. Plaintiff was housed on N block at SCI Camp Hill on July 26, 2016.

- 26. On July 26, 2016, plaintiff was scheduled to be transferred from SCI Camp Hill to SCI Pittsburgh.
- 27. Prior to when plaintiff's transfer was set to take place, SCI Camp Hill prison officials told him to pack up his belongings to prepare for the transfer to SCI Pittsburgh the next day.
- 28. At or about 3:00 p.m. on July 26, 2016, when SCI Camp Hill was locked down, SCI Camp Hill prison officials requested that plaintiff submit to a urine test with Captain John Doe One, who was a captain at SCI Camp Hill.
- 29. A corrections officer from SCI Camp Hill escorted plaintiff from his cell block to the main building of SCI Camp Hill to a floor below defendant Captain John Doe One's office.
- 30. When plaintiff arrived in the area of the control booth where defendant Sergeant John Doe Two was located, plaintiff asked defendant Sergeant John Doe Two to inform defendant Captain John Doe One that he could not climb the steps due to ground floor restrictions in plaintiff's medical file because of his knee condition.
- 31. Defendant Sergeant John Doe Two then called up to defendant Captain John Doe One, who told plaintiff that he did not care about his medical restrictions; that plaintiff was required to climb the stairs to see defendant Captain John Doe One and to give a urine; and if he refused, he would be disciplined and taken to the Restricted Housing Unit at the prison.
- 32. Plaintiff then climbed up three sets of stairs with great difficulty, where he met defendant Captain John Doe One and gave a urine sample, which turned out to be negative.
- 33. After plaintiff gave a urine sample, defendant Captain John Doe One called the medical department to verify plaintiff's medical restrictions and then told defendant Corrections

Officer John Doe Three and defendant Corrections Officer John Doe Four, who worked as corrections officers at SCI Camp Hill, to escort plaintiff back down the three sets of stairs to the ground floor because of plaintiff's medical condition.

- 34. Defendant Captain John Doe One instructed defendants Corrections Officers John Doe Three and John Doe Four to position themselves in front of and behind plaintiff while he descended the stairs back to the ground floor of the prison due to medical restrictions related to plaintiff's knee condition.
- 35. Defendants Corrections Officers John Doe Three and John Doe Four did not follow the protocol ordered by defendant Captain John Doe One and did not position themselves in front of and behind plaintiff while he went down the stairs.
- 36. While plaintiff was descending the stairs, his right knee gave out and he fell down the stairs, hitting and injuring his head, neck, hip, lower back and right knee.
- 37. Following his fall, plaintiff was taken to the prison infirmary and then by ambulance to the Emergency Room of Holy Spirit Hospital in Camp Hill, Pennsylvania.
- 38. Plaintiff was treated for head, back and knee pain. He was given a cervical collar for his neck and back; fluid was aspirated from his knee; a brace was recommended for the knee; and plaintiff was instructed to follow up with Dr. Sumas, a neurosurgeon who worked outside of the prison, on September 7, 2016.
- 39. When plaintiff returned to SCI Camp Hill, he was placed in the infirmary for a short period of time for observation and treatment for his injuries.

- 40. Plaintiff saw an orthopedic physician outside the prison on one occasion on August 19, 2016, at which time the doctor attempted without success to drain fluid from plaintiff's knee and recommended a follow-up surgical consultation.
- 41. The medical defendants never took any appropriate steps to ensure that plaintiff followed up with an orthopedic specialist for a surgical evaluation following his visit with the specialist.
- 42. As a result of the fall, plaintiff suffered severe and continuing pain in his knee, which has persisted up to the present time.
 - 43. Plaintiff's fall caused his pre-existing knee condition to worsen significantly.
- 44. As a result of the fall, plaintiff has made numerous sick call visits to the SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer infirmaries due to severe pain in his knee through the present time for which he has been prescribed ibuprofen, a knee brace, a knee sleeve, a wrap and a cane.
- 45. On many occasions from 2016 through the present time, while at SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer, plaintiff has been without a knee brace, knee sleeve, a wrap and/or a cane, despite the fact that they were prescribed to him, because the medical defendants failed to take appropriate steps to ensure that plaintiff had access to these devices.
- 46. Plaintiff suffered with increased pain in his right knee when he did not have the devices to support his knee on many occasions from 2016 to the present time.
- 47. On numerous occasions at SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer, plaintiff's oral and written requests to the medical defendants to see/follow up with an outside

knee specialist for a surgical consultation to diagnose and treat his knee have been ignored, denied and/or not acted upon.

- 48. On or about June 26, 2018, plaintiff saw defendant Holdren at SCI Mercer for evaluation and treatment for his knee and to request to see a knee specialist outside of the prison for a surgical consultation and evaluation.
- 49. Defendant Holdren and the SCI Mercer medical defendants under her supervision informed plaintiff that he remained on the waitlist to see a specialist; and defendant Holdren never followed up with plaintiff after the visit, as promised.
- 50. Plaintiff has seen defendants Biggio, Abraham, Morgan, Holdren, Voorstad, Odem and Hammer at SCI Camp Hill, SCI Pittsburgh and SCI Mercer from 2016 to the present time for diagnosis and treatment of severe pain in his right knee and to request a referral to an outside specialist for a surgical consultation.
- 51. The medical defendants have denied, delayed and/or put plaintiff on a waitlist for the past 18 months to see a specialist for a surgical consultation, evaluation and/or treatment to repair the major damage to his knee.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of the SCI Camp Hill corrections officer defendants requiring plaintiff to use the stairs and the medical defendants failing to ensure that he saw a knee specialist for evaluation and treatment, plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer with severe pain in his knee; swelling; discomfort; difficulty with mobility; difficulty sleeping and psychological pain and suffering, some or all of which may be permanent.
- 53. As a result of defendant DOC and the SCI Camp Hill defendants' failure to accommodate plaintiff and to force him to use stairs when he had a known disability, plaintiff

suffered further physical injuries, mental anguish, anxiety and fear that he would be further injured.

54. The DOC receives federal funding.

V. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES</u>

55. Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies to the fullest extent possible

VI. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>

Count I- Deprivation of Eighth Amendment Right Not to Undergo Cruel and Unusual Punishment v. John Does One, Two, Three and Four

- 56. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-55 inclusive, are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Defendants Captain John Doe One, Sergeant John Doe Two and Corrections
 Officers John Doe Three and John Doe Four, through their deliberate indifference to plaintiff's inability to walk up and down the stairs, caused plaintiff to suffer severe physical pain and emotional distress to an unconscionable degree and well in excess of that which normally attends prison life.
- 58. The foregoing conduct of defendants Captain John Doe One, Sergeant John Doe Two and Corrections Officers John Doe Three and John Doe Four, acting under color of state law, was undertaken in concert and conspiracy and as part of an effort to deprive plaintiff of his civil and constitutional rights, including plaintiff's rights, privileges and immunities under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' illegal and unconstitutional actions, plaintiff suffered pain, fear, anxiety, physical injuries, severe emotional trauma and the loss of the enjoyment of life, all to his great detriment and loss.

Count II – Deprivation of Eighth Amendment Right Not to Undergo Cruel and Unusual Punishment- Denial of Medical Treatment v. Medical Defendants

- 60. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 59, inclusive, are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Defendants Boggio, Abraham, Voorstad, Morgan and Holdren all hold or held the positions of medical director and/or physician at the medical departments at SCI Camp Hill and SCI Mercer and are/were employed by the Pennsylvania DOC.
- 62. Defendant Hammer held the position of Physician Assistant at SCI Pittsburgh in or about 2016; defendants Hammer and Odem currently hold the position of Physician Assistant at SCI Mercer; and both defendants are employed by the Pennsylvania DOC.
- 63. Defendant Boggio, who was medical director at SCI Camp Hill in or about 2016, saw plaintiff in 2016 and failed to ensure that plaintiff was sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist outside the prison.
- 64. Defendant Abraham, who was medical director at SCI Camp Hill in or about 2016, saw plaintiff in 2016 and failed to ensure that plaintiff was sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist outside the prison.
- 65. Defendant Voorstad, who, upon information and belief, was a medical director at SCI Camp Hill in or about 2016, saw plaintiff in 2016 and failed to ensure that plaintiff was sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist outside the prison.
- 66. Defendant Morgan, who was medical director at SCI Mercer in or about 2016 through 2018, saw plaintiff on numerous occasions in 2016 through 2018 and failed to ensure that plaintiff was sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist outside the prison.

- 67. Defendant Odem, who was a physician assistant at SCI Mercer in or about 2016 through 2018, saw plaintiff on numerous occasions and failed to follow through on a recommendation that client be sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist.
- 68. Defendant Hammer, who was a physician assistant at SCI Pittsburgh in or about 2016 and currently at SCI Mercer, saw plaintiff in 2016 and in 2017 and 2018 and failed to follow through on a recommendation that plaintiff be sent out for a surgical evaluation and treatment by a knee specialist.

Count III – Exclusion from Services of a Public Entity in Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act v. DOC

- 69. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 68 inclusive, are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. Defendants Department of Corrections failed to accommodate plaintiff by requiring him to climb up and down the stairs to take a urine test and on other occasions in 2016 at SCI Camp Hill, a public entity, due to plaintiff's disability in violation of Title II and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Count IV – Exclusion from Services of a Federally Funded Entity in Violation of the Rehabilitation Act v. DOC

- 71. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 70 inclusive, are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. Defendant Department of Corrections failed to accommodate plaintiff by requiring him to climb up and down the stairs to take a urine test and on other occasions in 2016 at SCI Camp Hill, a public entity, due to plaintiff's disability in violation of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.

Count V – State Law Negligence Claims v. Medical Defendants and Correct Care Solutions

- 73. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 72 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 74. The medical defendants had a duty to comply with generally accepted medical standards of care in their medical treatment of plaintiff.
 - 75. The medical defendants violated their duty of care to plaintiff.
- 76. The medical defendants' violation of their duty of care to plaintiff was a direct and proximate cause and a substantial factor in bringing about plaintiff's damages as outlined above, and, as a result the medical defendants are liable to plaintiff.
- 77. Because the individual medical defendants were acting as agents, servants and/or employees of defendant Correct Care Solutions and because the medical defendants were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct control and supervision of defendant Correct Care Solutions, defendant Correct Care Solutions is liable to plaintiff on the basis of *respondeat superior* liability.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 78. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 77 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. The conduct of the individual defendants was outrageous, malicious, wanton, willful, reckless and intentionally designed to inflict harm upon plaintiff.
- 80. As a result of the actions of the individual defendants alleged in the preceding paragraphs, plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages as to each cause of action.

JURY DEMAND AND RELIEF DEMANDED

81. Plaintiff demands a jury as to each defendant and as to each count.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages;
- c. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- d. Such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Laurie R. Jubelirer
Laurie R. Jubelirer, Esquire
Attorney I.D. 50436
Jubelirer Law, LLC
600 West Germantown Pike
Suite 400
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462
Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: July 26, 2018